# METHODS OF ANALYSIS BY THE U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY NATIONAL WATER QUALITY LABORATORY-DETERMINATION OF CHLORINATED PESTICIDES IN AQUATIC TISSUE BY CAPILLARY-COLUMN GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY WITH ELECTRON-CAPTURE DETECTION

By Thomas J. Leiker, James E. Madsen, Jeffrey R. Deacon, and William T. Foreman

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY Open-File Report 94-710



# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BRUCE BABBITT, Secretary

**U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY** 

Gordon P. Eaton, Director

The use of brand, firm, and trade names in this report is for identification purposes only and does not constitute endorsement by the U.S. Geological Survey.

For additional information write to:

U.S. Geological Survey Chief, National Water Quality Laboratory Box 25046, Mail Stop 407 Denver Federal Center Denver, CO 80225 Copies of this report can be purchased from:

U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Reports Section/ESIC Box 25286, Mail Stop 517 Denver Federal Center Denver, CO 80225

#### **CONTENTS**

		OONTENTO	<u>Page</u>
Abstra	act		1
		ion	1
		l Method	2
		ope and application	2
		mmary of method	4
		erferences	4
		pparatus and equipment	4
5.	-	agents and consumable materials	6
6.		mple collection and preparation	8
7.		nalysis of sample extracts	12
8.		libration	14
		lculations	16
		porting of results	18
		n of results	19
		od performance	19
		od detection limits	20
Qualit	ty a	ssurance	21
Int	erp	retation of laboratory quality-control data	21
Concl	usio	ons	22
Refere	ence	es cited	22
Suppl	eme	ent: Method performance data	25
		TABLES	
Table	1.	Compounds, codes, and Chemical Abstract Service registry numbers	3
	2.	Concentration data of nonspiked whole-body fish tissue used for 40-microgram-per-kilogram spike	27
	3.	Percent recovery of 40-microgram-per-kilogram spike in homogenized whole-body fish tissue	28
	4.	Concentration data of nonspiked whole-body fish tissue used for 30- and 100-microgram-per-kilogram spike	29

### TABLES--(Continued)

		Page
Table 5.	Percent recovery of 30-microgram-per-kilogram spike in homogenized whole-body fish tissue	30
6.	Percent recovery of 100-microgram-per-kilogram spike in homogenized whole-body fish tissue	31
7.	Concentration data of nonspiked corbicula tissue used for 5- and 40-microgram-per-kilogram spike	32
8.	Percent recovery of 5-microgram-per-kilogram spike in homogenized corbicula tissue	33
9.	Percent recovery of 40-microgram-per-kilogram spike in homogenized corbicula tissue	34
10.	Percent recovery of 2.5-microgram-per-kilogram reagent spike	35
11.	Percent recovery of 40-microgram-per-kilogram reagent spike	36
12.	Percent recovery from National Institute of Standards and Technology Standard Reference Material 1588 cod liver oil	37
13a.	Results of U.S. Fish and Wildlife round-robin sample 2	38
13b.	Results of U.S. Fish and Wildlife round-robin sample 12	39
14.	Results of U.S. Environmental Protection Agency quality-control samples	40
15.	Method detection limits determined with reagent spike	41
16.	Method detection limits determined with homogenized fish tissue	42

## CONVERSION FACTORS, ABBREVIATED WATER-QUALITY UNITS, AND ADDITIONAL ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

Multiply	Ву	To obtain
centimeter (cm)	$3.94 \times 10^{-1}$	inch
gram (g)	$3.52 \times 10^{-2}$	ounce
liter (L)	0.265	gallon
meter (m)	3.28	foot
microliter (μL)	$2.64 \times 10^{-7}$	gallon
milligr <b>a</b> m (mg)	$3.53 \times 10^{-5}$	ounce
milliliter (mL)	$2.64 \times 10^{-4}$	gallon
millimeter (mm)	$3.94 \times 10^{-2}$	inch
nanometer (nm)	3.93 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>	inch

Degree Celsius (°C) may be converted to degree Fahrenheit (°F) by using the following equation:

$${}^{\circ}F = 9/5 ({}^{\circ}C) + 32.$$

#### Abbreviated water-quality units used in this report are as follows:

°C/min	degree Celsius per minute	ng/μL	nanogram per microliter
μg/kg	microgram per kilogram	pg/μL	picogram per microliter
mg/mL	milligram per milliliter	lb/in²	pounds per square inch
mL/min	milliliter per minute		

#### Other abbreviations used in this report:

CAS	Chemical Abstract Service
CCV	continuing calibration verification
GC	gas chromatography
GC/ECD	gas chromatography/electron capture detection
GPC	gel permeation chromatography
id	inside diameter
K-D	Kuderna-Danish concentrator
MDL	method detection limit
NAWQA	National Water-Quality Assessment program
NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology
NWQL	National Water Quality Laboratory
PCB	polychlorinated biphenyls
PEM	performance evaluation mix
QC	quality control
RSD	relative standard deviation
SOP	standard operating procedure
SRM	Standard Reference Material
USEPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

# METHODS OF ANALYSIS BY THE U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY NATIONAL WATER QUALITY LABORATORY-DETERMINATION OF CHLORINATED PESTICIDES IN AQUATIC TISSUE BY CAPILLARY-COLUMN GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY WITH ELECTRON-CAPTURE DETECTION

By Thomas J. Leiker, James E. Madsen, Jeffrey R. Deacon, and William T. Foreman

#### **ABSTRACT**

A method for the determination of chlorinated organic compounds in aquatic tissue by dual capillary-column gas chromatography with electron-capture detection is described. Whole-body-fish or corbicula tissue is homogenized, Soxhlet extracted, lipid removed by gel permeation chromatography, and fractionated using alumina/silica adsorption chromatography. The extracts are analyzed by dissimilar capillary-column gas chromatography with electron-capture detection. The method reporting limits are 5 micrograms per kilogram ( $\mu g/kg$ ) for chlorinated compounds, 50  $\mu g/kg$  for polychlorinated biphenyls, and 200  $\mu g/kg$  for toxaphene.

#### INTRODUCTION

Historically the water quality of ground, surface, or impounded water is often established by determining the concentration of organic compounds present in a 1-L sample. Because of surface-water variability in time and ground-water availability in space, an analysis based on a 1-L sample might not produce a true water-quality picture. Hydrophobic organic compounds such as chlorinated pesticides, PCBs, and other chlorinated organic compounds often are present in the water column at concentrations less than the detection limits of conventional analytical techniques. These sparingly soluble compounds usually exhibit high octanol to water partition coefficients (Log  $K_{\rm OW}>4$ ) and are selectively partitioned from the water column into organic material associated with bed and suspended sediment and into the lipid tissue of stream biota.

The water quality of a riverine system is based on, but not limited to, atmospheric deposition, ground water, agricultural runoff, municipal and industrial discharges, and barge transportation of chemical, food, and agricultural products along major rivers. Runoff from forest, cattle, pork, and poultry industries also has an impact on water quality. Various studies have determined contaminant levels in sediment, water, and aquatic tissue as an index of water quality and agricultural run-off patterns (Barthel and others, 1969; Laska and others, 1976; Sabourin and others, 1984; Winger and Andreasen, 1985; Leiker and others, 1991). Other studies

have demonstrated that organic contaminants with high Log K<sub>OW</sub>, which are present in the water column at nanogram-per-liter levels, will bioconcentrate within the lipid tissues of aquatic biota (Jaffe and others, 1985; Oliver and Niimi, 1985; Pereira and others, 1988; Swackhamer and Hites, 1988; Leiker and others, 1991). Because of these studies, measuring the pesticide content in tissue samples might provide data to determine long-term water-quality trends, identify sources of contamination in rivers and streams, monitor changes in land-use patterns, and indicate when new hydrophobic pesticides are being used.

This report describes a method for determining chlorinated pesticides and industrial chemicals in whole-body-fish and corbicula tissue. The method was developed by the U.S. Geological Survey for its National Water-Quality Assessment (NAWQA) program for use at the National Water Quality Laboratory (NWQL). Other methods of the U.S. Geological Survey for determination of organic compounds are described by Wershaw and others (1987). The method was implemented at the NWQL in November 1992.

This report provides detailed information regarding sample preparation and analysis, interferences, calculations, accuracy and precision of the method validation, explanation of quality-control data, round-robin results for further validation, and method detection limits for 28 chlorinated compounds.

The authors acknowledge the technical support of Brooke Connor, Dennis Markovchick, Tammy Thompson, Gene Slocum, and Anthony Sofia. Additional thanks are accorded to Stuart McKenzie and Stephen Porter, who supplied tissue samples for the method's development and validation; Wilfred Pereira, Charles Demas, and Greg Foster provided helpful discussions.

#### ANALYTICAL METHOD

**Organic Compounds and Parameter Codes:** 

Chlorinated pesticides, recoverable from aquatic tissue, capillary-column gas chromatography, electron-capture detection, O-9125-94 (see table 1)

#### 1. Scope and application

1.1 This method is suitable for the determination of selected organochlorine-containing pesticides and industrial chemicals in whole-body fish and corbicula tissue at concentrations of 5  $\mu$ g/kg for chlorinated pesticides, 50  $\mu$ g/kg for PCBs, and 200  $\mu$ g/kg for toxaphene. The method is applicable for those hydrophobic compounds that exhibit Log K<sub>OW</sub>>4. The method is used to determine the concentration of 28 chlorinated organic compounds listed in table 1. These 28 compounds were selected for analysis to fulfill the requirements of the NAWQA

program. In addition, 3,5-dichlorobiphenyl and *alpha*-HCH-d<sub>6</sub> were used as surrogates for quality control (table 1). Recovery from a matrix spike might not indicate the true concentration of a compound originally present in the sample because of mechanisms that are only active in a living organism that might irreversibly bind chlorinated pesticides to the tissues.

**Table 1.** Compounds, codes, and Chemical Abstract Service registry numbers [WATSTORE, Water Data Storage and Retrieval System; NWQL, National Water Quality Laboratory; CAS, Chemical Abstract Service; --, no code assigned]

Compound	WATSTORE	NWQL	CAS
Compound	code	code	registry number
Aldrin	49353	7030	309-00-2
cis-Chlordane	49380	7001	5103-71-9
trans-Chlordane	49379	7002	5103-74-2
DCPA (dacthal)	49378	7003	1861-32-1
o,p'-DDD	49374	7007	53-19-0
p,p'-DDD	49375	7006	<i>72-</i> 54-8
o,p'-DDE	49373	7008	3424-82-6
p,p'-DDE	49372	7009	<b>72-55-9</b>
o,p'-DDT	49377	7004	789-02-6
p,p'-DDT	49376	<i>7</i> 005	50-29-3
Dieldrin	49371	7010	60-57-1
Endrin	49370	7011	72-20-8
alpha-HCH	49366	7016	319-84-6
beta-HCH	49365	7017	319-85-7
delta-HCH	49364	7018	319-86-8
gamma-HCH (lindane)	49363	7019	58-89-9
Heptachlor	49369	7012	76-44-8
Heptachlor epoxide	49368	7013	1024-57-3
Hexachlorobenzene	49367	7014	118-74-1
o,p'-Methoxychlor	49362	7020	30667-99-3
p,p'-Methoxychlor	49361	7021	72-43-5
Mirex	49360	7022	2385-85-5
cis-Nonachlor	49359	7023	5103-73-1
trans-Nonachlor	49358	7024	39765-80-5
Oxychlordane	49357	7025	27304-13-8
Pentachloroanisole	49356	7027	1825-21-4
Total PCB	49354	7029	
Toxaphene	49355	7028	8001-35-2
3,5-Dichlorobiphenyl (surrogate)	49264	7035	34883-41-5
alpha-HCH-d6 (surrogate)	49261	7034	

1.2 Method performance was validated by analyzing seven replicates at low and high concentrations of all 28 selected compounds in the following sample matrices: whole-body-fish tissue, corbicula tissue, and reagent blank consisting of sodium sulfate. Further validation involved analysis of Standard Reference Material (SRM-1588 cod liver oil) from the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Quality Control Fish Tissue. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service round-robin samples, from its contract laboratories program, were also analyzed.

#### 2. Summary of method

- 2.1 Whole-body-fish or corbicula tissue is homogenized into a single composite.
- 2.2 A 10-g sample aliquot of homogenized tissue is thoroughly mixed with 100 g of granular anhydrous sodium sulfate and Soxhlet extracted overnight with methylene chloride.
- 2.3 After extraction, the extract is concentrated to a volume of 5.0 mL. A 1-mL aliquot is removed for percentage lipid determination. A 2-mL aliquot of the extract is injected into a gel permeation chromatograph (GPC) to separate the lipid material and other interferences from the method compounds.
- 2.4 After the compounds have been collected from the GPC, the extract is solvent exchanged into hexane, separated into two fractions on a column packed from top to bottom with 1 cm of sodium sulfate, 5 g of 8.5 percent water-deactivated alumina, 3 g of 2 percent water-deactivated silica, and 0.5 cm of sodium sulfate.
  - 2.4.1 The first fraction contains the PCBs, DDE, and other nonpolar organics.
- 2.4.2 The second fraction contains toxaphene, chlordane components, DDT, DDD, and other more polar organic compounds.
- 2.5 Each fraction is concentrated to a volume of 1 mL and analyzed by dual capillary-column gas chromatography with electron-capture detection.

#### 3. Interferences

Compounds recovered from a sample matrix, which have chemical and physical properties that are similar to but are not chromatographically resolved from the compounds of interest, can interfere.

#### 4. Apparatus and equipment

4.1 Blender, Waring.

- 4.2 Soxhlet extractors.
  - 4.2.1 Round-bottom flasks, 500-mL.
  - 4.2.2 Condensers.
- 4.3 Soxhlet thimble, glass-fiber, precleaned overnight at 450°C.
- 4.4 Boiling chips (large).
- 4.5 Kuderna-Danish (K-D) apparatus.
  - 4.5.1 Flasks, 500-mL K-D.
  - 4.5.2 Three-ball Snyder columns.
  - 4.5.3 Receivers, 10-, 25-, and 50-mL K-D.
- 4.6 GPC autosampler vials, 4-mL.
- 4.7 Miscellaneous items.
  - 4.7.1 Evaporative concentrators.
  - 4.7.2 Metal spatulas.
- 4.8 Analytical balance.
  - 4.8.1 Balance capable of accurately weighing up to 1,200 g  $\pm$ 0.1 g.
  - 4.8.2 Analytical balance capable of accurately weighing 200 g  $\pm 0.1$  mg.
- 4.9 Gas chromatograph equipped with the following items:
- 4.9.1 Capillary column: two 25-m x 0.25-mm inside diameter (id) fused-silica-capillary columns--one is coated with 5 percent diphenyl and 95 percent dimethyl polysiloxane (Restek Rtx-5), and the other is coated with 14 percent cyanopropyl and 86 percent dimethyl polysiloxane (Restek Rtx-1701) or equivalent.
  - 4.9.2 Autosampler capable of variable syringe draw-up rate.
  - 4.9.3 Detectors, two nickel-63 electron-capture.
- 4.9.4 Data station capable of producing chromatograms and quantitative reports.
- 4.9.5 Temperature-controlled injection ports, detectors, and gas chromatography (GC) oven capable of multitemperature program ramps.

- 4.10 Gel permeation chromatograph equipped with the following items:
  - 4.10.1 Solvent delivery system with variable pumping rates.
- 4.10.2 Autosampler with sample storage carousel and variable syringe draw rate.
- $4.10.3\,$  Gel permeation columns: two Waters Envirogel GPC Prep Columns  $19\times150\,$  mm and  $19\times300\,$  mm or equivalent.
  - 4.10.4 Ultraviolet detector set at 254 nm.
  - 4.10.5 Fraction collector.
- 4.10.6 Data station or integrator capable of producing chromatograms and quantitative reports.
  - 4.11 Mason jars, pint- and quart-size, precleaned overnight at 450°C.

#### 5. Reagents and consumable materials

- 5.1 Helium gas chromatography (GC) carrier gas (grade 5).
- 5.2 Nitrogen GC makeup gas (grade 5).
- 5.3 Nitrogen, ultrapure gas for evaporation.
- 5.4 Scrubbers to remove oxygen and impurities from carrier gas.
- 5.5 Solvents: Acetone, hexane, isooctane, methylene chloride, methanol, toluene, cyclohexane; B&J brand, ultrapure pesticide quality or equivalent.
  - 5.6 Syringes, 10-, 25-, 50-, and 100-μL.
  - 5.7 Class A pipet, 2-mL.
- 5.8 Disposable 127- and 229-mm Pasteur pipets, precleaned by baking at 450°C overnight.
  - 5.9 Sodium sulfate, granular, preclean by baking at 450°C overnight.
- 5.10 Obtain standards for calibration curves, surrogate and internal standards, retention-time markers, continuing calibration verification (CCV) and performance evaluation mix (PEM) standards, and reagent spike solutions at a certified concentration or prepare from pure primary standards.

- 5.10.1 Calibration standards include all method compounds at concentrations of 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, and 200 picograms per microliter (pg/ $\mu$ L). Prepare the standards in hexane or cyclohexane. The PCB calibration standard contains a 1:1:1 mixture of Aroclor 1242, 1254, and 1260 at 200 pg/ $\mu$ L each in hexane or cyclohexane. The toxaphene calibration standard is 1,200 pg/ $\mu$ L.
- $5.10.2\,$  Add surrogate standards consisting of 3,5-dichlorobiphenyl and alpha-HCH-d<sub>6</sub>, in hexane, at a concentration of 2.5 ng/ $\mu$ L to each sample prior to Soxhlet extraction. Use a  $100-\mu$ L syringe to fortify the sample with  $100~\mu$ L of surrogate spiking solution. Alternate surrogate compounds may be used after demonstrating adequate performance. This standard provides sample processing information on every environmental sample that is analyzed.
- 5.10.3 Add retention-time-marker solution of tetrachloro-m-xylene and decachlorobiphenyl to the sample at the time the sample extract is transferred to a vial and sealed. Prepare the retention-time-marker solution at  $10 \text{ ng/}\mu\text{L}$  in hexane, and fortify the sample with  $10 \mu\text{L}$  of this solution. Use this retention-time marker to monitor and correct for small drift in GC retention time of method compounds. Also use it for internal standard quantification when required. The conditions for internal standard quantification are dependent on the stability of the instrument and the sample matrix. Use internal standard quantification only when external quantification fails because of matrix effects or instrumental instability (McNair and Bonelli, 1969; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1990).
- $5.10.4\,$  The CCV standards include all method compounds prepared at 50 pg/ $\mu L.\,$  Analyze this standard after every fifth environmental sample to monitor and ensure the validity of the calibration curve throughout the GC analysis for all samples and compounds.
- 5.10.5 The PEM standards include *alpha-, beta-,* and *gamma-HCH* (10 pg/ $\mu$ L), p,p'-DDT (100 pg/ $\mu$ L), endrin (50 pg/ $\mu$ L), and p,p'-methoxychlor (250 pg/ $\mu$ L). Use these standards to monitor the resolution of the chromatographic separations, and chromatographic and injection port degradation of method compounds, thereby providing data to indicate when to perform maintenance on the chromatographic system.
- $5.10.6\,$  Prepare a 1.25-ng/ $\mu$ L reagent spike solution, in hexane, consisting of all single-component method compounds, and spike  $100\,\mu$ L directly into the sodium sulfate prior to Soxhlet extraction.
- 5.10.7 Prepare a GPC system performance verification standard, in methylene chloride, to monitor the performance of the GPC. Use this standard to monitor the retention times and resolution of the GPC columns that separate the method compounds from the coextracted lipid material. The standard consists of corn oil, 63,000 mg/L; *bis*-2-ethyl-hexylphthalate, 2,000 mg/L; perylene, 45 mg/L; and sulfur, 220 mg/L.

- 5.11 Prepare working standards from pure primary materials as follows: Using an analytical balance capable of weighing to  $\pm 0.1$  mg, weigh 5 to 10 mg of primary standard directly into a 5- or 10-mL volumetric flask. Dilute to volume with hexane or cyclohexane. Verify that all of the solid material has gone into solution. After preparation, transfer the standard to an appropriate vial labeled with the date that the standard was prepared, concentration, solvent, purity of the primary material, and a mark on the side of the vial to record the volume at time of preparation. Seal the vial with a Teflon-lined screw cap and store in a freezer for a maximum of 6 months. Date and re-mark the level of solvent every time an aliquot is withdrawn.
- 5.12 Prepare or obtain working standard solutions at concentrations from 1 to 2 mg/mL. Prepare all subsequent dilutions with 10-, 25-, 50-, or 100-µL syringes. Transfer the aliquot required to prepare a standard at a given concentration directly into a volumetric flask and dilute to volume with hexane or cyclohexane. Transfer the solution to a Teflon-lined screw-cap vial and store for a maximum of 3 months.
- 5.13 After preparation, check all standard stock solutions against existing standards. All standard stock solutions must fall within 20 percent of existing standards. Under no circumstances are these solutions to be validated separately or on different days. Never store the standard stock solutions in volumetric flasks or at ambient temperature overnight, regardless of the storage container.

#### 6. Sample collection and preparation

- 6.1 Collect fish and corbicula samples according to guidelines set forth by Crawford and Luoma (1993). Briefly, collect fish samples by electroshocking, wrap in aluminum foil, freeze, and ship frozen to NWQL. Collect corbicula samples, depurate for 22 hours, wrap in aluminum foil, and ship frozen to NWQL.
  - 6.2 Preparation and homogenization of whole-body fish tissue.
- 6.2.1 Allow samples, wrapped in aluminum foil, to thaw overnight at ambient temperature.
- 6.2.2 After thawing, composite and thoroughly homogenize all fish (typically 5 to 10) from a specific sampling site by five repetitive processings through a meat grinder. Place about 300 g of homogenized tissue in a clean jar and store the homogenized tissue in a freezer. Discard the remaining bulk quantity of tissue. Observe all safety requirements established by the manufacturer of the meat grinder.
- 6.2.3 Wash all components of the meat grinder with soap and hot water, and rinse with organic-free distilled water, methanol or acetone, and methylene chloride between samples.

- 6.3. Preparation and homogenization of corbicula.
- 6.3.1 After thawing, remove the corbicula tissue from the shell using precleaned spatulas that have been baked at 450°C overnight. Open the shell with the spatula and scrape the tissue from the shell directly into a clean mason jar. Composite and homogenize all tissue from a specific sampling site. Wear gloves to prevent transfer of finger oil to the sample.
- 6.3.2 Homogenize the corbicula tissue with a stainless steel blender. Place up to 300 g of homogenized tissue in a clean jar and store the homogenized tissue in a freezer. Clean the components of the blender that come in contact with the sample in the same manner as the meat grinder (see section 6.2.3).
- 6.4 After homogenizing composite samples, weigh about 10 g of homogenized tissue directly into a preweighed pint-size mason jar that contains 100 g of granular anhydrous sodium sulfate. Weigh the jar to the nearest 0.1 g and record the actual weight of sample that is placed in the mason jar. Refreeze the sample sodium sulfate mixture and store frozen until the sample is prepared for extraction.
- 6.5 Prior to extraction, while the sample is still frozen in the mason jar, use a blender to thoroughly homogenize the sample sodium sulfate mixture to a free-flowing powder. If any of the sample sodium sulfate mixture is retained on the blender blades, scrape the material or rinse the blades into the mason jar containing the sample sodium sulfate mixture.
- 6.6 After homogenization, quantitatively transfer the sample sodium sulfate mixture to a glass-fiber Soxhlet thimble that was baked at 450°C overnight. Add 100  $\mu$ L of a 2.5-ng/ $\mu$ L surrogate standard solution to the sample prior to extraction. Rinse the mason jar three times with 20 mL of methylene chloride. Add the rinses to the Soxhlet apparatus, and extract the sample for a minimum of 8 hours with 250 mL of methylene chloride. The solvent should cycle through the Soxhlet apparatus about every 15 or 20 minutes.
- 6.7 After extraction, add 10 g of granular anhydrous sodium sulfate to the round-bottom flask that contains the extract to remove any water that may be present. Allow the extract and granular anhydrous sodium sulfate to set for 1 hour. Then decant the extract into an assembled K-D unit (500-mL flask with three-ball Synder column). Rinse the sides of the round-bottom flask containing the sample extract and granular anhydrous sodium sulfate three times with 20 mL of methylene chloride, and add the rinses to the K-D unit. Concentrate the extract and rinses by K-D to a volume of 5 mL. Filter the extract through a disposable 127-mm Pasteur pipet that contains 25 mm of granular anhydrous sodium sulfate, collect the filtered extract in a 10-mL K-D receiver, rinse the sodium sulfate column with two column volumes of methylene chloride, and combine the rinses with the sample extract. Concentrate the extract to 5.0 mL under a gentle stream of nitrogen at ambient temperature.

- 6.8 Transfer a 1-mL aliquot of the extract to a tared 15-mL culture tube for percentage lipid determination.
- 6.8.1 Evaporate the 1 mL of extract to dryness at ambient temperature and under a gentle stream of nitrogen, or use a turbovap.
- 6.8.2 After removing the solvent, weigh the culture tube until a constant weight is achieved and record the constant weight.
  - 6.8.3 Calculate the percentage of lipid using the following formula:

Percentage lipid = 
$$\frac{(W_{ts} - W_t)}{1 \text{ mL}} \times \frac{5 \text{ mL}}{S_w} \times 100$$
 (1)

where  $W_{ts} = W_t$  plus the weight of lipid contained in 1 mL of extract after solvent has been removed;

 $W_t$  = culture tube tare weight; and

 $S_w$  = weight of sample extracted (use weight recorded in section 6.4).

- 6.9 Transfer the remaining 4 mL of extract ( $S_v$ ) to a tared 4-mL GPC vial, seal with the Teflon-lined screw cap, and pressurize with nitrogen.
- 6.9.1 Pressurize each GPC vial with 30 lb/in<sup>2</sup> of nitrogen for 30 seconds by piercing the septum with the pressurization needle. Withdraw the syringe needle from the septum. Rinse the needle by bubbling into a few milliliters of methylene chloride between each sample. Weigh and record the weight of the filled vial  $(V_w')$  to the nearest 1 mg. (CAUTION: Do not place the needle into the extract.)
- 6.10 Place the sample vial into the carousel of the GPC autosampler. Inject a 2-mL aliquot of the extract into the GPC for separation of lipid material from the method compounds. Collect the GPC fraction containing the method compounds into a 50-mL K-D receiver tube. For details regarding the setup, operation, and compound collection times, refer to SOP OT0028.0 (unpublished SOPs are available from the NWQL). After the sample has been injected into the GPC, reweigh the vial with the Teflon-lined screw cap and record its weight to the nearest 0.1 mg ( $V_w$ ') Calculate the equivalent mass of tissue extract injected into the GPC, using the following formula:

$$S_{v} = (V_{w} - V_{w}')/1.32 \tag{2}$$

where  $S_v$  = the volume of extract, in milliliters, that is injected into the GPC;

 $V_w$  = the weight of the vial plus sample prior to GPC;

 $V_{w'}$  = the weight of the vial minus the sample that has been injected into the GPC; and

1.32 = density of methylene chloride, in grams per milliliter.

Then calculate the equivalent mass of tissue extract injected into the GPC, using

$$S_a = (S_w/5 \text{ mL}) * S_v \tag{3}$$

where  $S_a$  = the equivalent mass of tissue extract injected into the GPC; and  $S_w$  = weight of sample extracted in grams (use weight recorded in section 6.4).

Use this value to calculate the final concentrations of compound, in micrograms per kilogram, in the sample.

- 6.10.1 Use a Waters liquid chromatographic system to carry out the gel permeation chromatography. Lipid material is separated from the compounds of interest using two Waters Envirogel GPC Prep Columns. The first column is a guard column 19 x 150 mm. The second column is 19 x 300 mm. The mobile phase is methylene chloride, and the flow rate of the mobile phase is  $4.5 \, \text{mL/min}$ .
- 6.10.2 The separation of coextracted lipid material from method compounds is monitored by an ultraviolet detector set at a wavelength of 254 nm. If the retention times shift by more than 2 minutes, as determined by the GPC evaluation standard, terminate the sequence and take corrective action.
- 6.10.3 The following is a typical GPC sequence for standards, environmental samples, and quality-control (QC) samples: GPC evaluation standard, seven environmental samples, duplicate environmental sample, four environmental samples, SRM, reagent spike, reagent blank, and GPC evaluation standard.
- 6.11 Collect the compounds of interest in a 50-mL receiver. After collection, attach a three-ball Snyder column to the 50-mL receiver, add boiling chips, place the unit on a steam bath, and concentrate to a volume of 5 mL. Remove the unit from the steambath, and allow to cool. Then add 25 mL of hexane and new boiling chips to the extract, and concentrate the extract to a volume of 5 mL on a steam bath. Further concentrate the extract to 1 mL under a gentle stream of nitrogen at ambient temperature. At this point all methylene chloride has been removed from the extract. If the methylene chloride is not removed, the separations in the next step will fail.
- 6.12 Separate the extract into two fractions using a 265-mm by 12.5-mm id chromatographic column equipped with a 75-mL reservoir and porous frit. Dry pack the column from top to bottom with 1 cm of granular sodium sulfate, 5 g of 8.5 percent water-deactivated neutral alumina (initially activated at 135°C), 3 g of 2 percent water-deactivated silica (initially activated at 135°C), and 0.5 cm of granular sodium sulfate.
  - 6.12.1 Prerinse the column with 50 mL of hexane.

- 6.12.2 As the hexane rinse sinks into the top of the sodium sulfate, transfer the 1 mL of sample extract to the top of the sodium sulfate, taking care not to disturb the alumina silica bed, and elute with 30 mL of hexane (discard the first 5 mL of hexane), collecting this first eluant in a 25-mL K-D receiver. This first fraction contains PCBs, *p*,*p*′-DDE, HCB, and other nonpolar organic compounds. Just prior to adding 25 mL of 50 percent (volume:volume) acetone in hexane, place a fresh 25-mL K-D receiver into position to collect the second fraction. The second fraction contains toxaphene, chlordane components, DDT, DDD, HCH isomers, and other more polar organic compounds.
- 6.13 Concentrate each fraction to a volume of 1 mL, label a 2-mL GC autosampler vial, transfer extract to the GC autosampler vial, add exactly 10  $\mu$ L of a 10-ng/ $\mu$ L retention-time-marker solution to the extract, cap with a Teflon-lined cap, and store in a freezer until the sample is analyzed.

#### 7. Analysis of sample extracts

- 7.1 Analyze sample extracts by dual capillary-column gas chromatography with electron-capture detection. Make chromatographic separations with two dissimilar 30-m by 0.25-mm id capillary columns, one of which is coated with 5 percent diphenyl and 95 percent dimethyl polysiloxane, and the other is coated with 14 percent cyanopropylphenyl and 86 percent dimethyl polysiloxane.
- 7.2 Hold the GC oven temperature at 50°C for 1 minute and program at 15°C/min to 140°C, then program at 1°C/min to a temperature of 220°C; next program the oven at 4°C/min to a temperature of 280°C and hold at the upper temperature for 20 minutes. Hold the injection port temperature at 220°C and the detector temperature at a minimum of 300°C. Other GC temperature programs are permissible as long as acceptable chromatographic separations, compound identifications, and quantitations are maintained.
  - 7.3 Place the vials containing the extracts into the autosampler tray.
- 7.4 Set the autosampler to rinse syringe five times with clean solvent prior to the  $2-\mu L$  injection of sample extract.
- 7.5 Base the compound identifications on comparison of GC retention times with authentic standards. Base the quantitation on a six-point calibration curve for all chlorinated pesticides and a single-point calibration for PCBs and toxaphene. Produce the six-point calibration curve for the chlorinated pesticides at the beginning of the analysis for each set of environmental samples and associated quality-control samples. The calibration range for all single-component compounds is 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, and 200 pg/ $\mu$ L. The calibration curve is acceptable if the correlation coefficient is greater than 0.995. The recommended calibration standard for PCB is 600 pg/ $\mu$ L (a 1:1:1 mixture of Aroclor 1242, 1254, and 1260 at 200 pg/ $\mu$ L

12

for each Aroclor type), and toxaphene is  $800 \text{ pg/}\mu\text{L}$ . Depending on the concentration of PCB and toxaphene in the sample extract, the analyst has the discretion to alter the amount of standard to be more representative of the concentrations in the actual sample extract.

- 7.6 As part of the instrumental quality-control program, analyze continuing calibration verification (CCV) standards at 50 pg/ $\mu$ L and performance evaluation mix (PEM, section 5.10.5) standards consisting of *alpha-*, *beta-*, and *gamma-*HCH, *p,p'-*DDT, and *p,p'-*methoxychlor after every fifth environmental sample. Analyze the CCV standard to ensure that the calibration has not drifted more than 30 percent from the expected value. Analyze the PEM standard to monitor chromatographic resolution, sensitivity, and degradation, primarily for *p,p'-*DDT and endrin breakdown during injection. If the breakdown of *p,p'-*DDT or endrin exceeds 30 percent when analyzing fraction-2 (this fraction contains endrin, *o,p'-* and *p,p'-*DDT, *o,p'-* and *p,p'-*DDD among other more polar organic compounds), then terminate the analysis, perform preventive maintenance, and re-analyze the extracts. If the CCV has drifted more than 30 percent, refer to SOP OT0022.0 for guidance (unpublished SOPs are available from the NWQL).
- 7.7 Report the compound concentration data from the column that produces the lowest concentration, unless it is documented through calibration standards, CCV, or PEM that a specific compound on a specific capillary column is not performing adequately because of compound coelution, degradation, or interference.
- 7.8 The following compounds are known to coelute on the specified capillary column:
  - 7.8.1 Known coelutions on Rtx-5: o,p'-DDT and p,p'-DDD; Heptachlor epoxide and oxychlordane.
  - 7.8.2 Known coelutions on Rtx-1701: *cis*-Nonachlor and p,p'-DDD; Oxychlordane and DCPA.
- 7.9 The following is a typical GC analytical sequence for standards, environmental samples, and quality-control samples:

Hexane wash PEM
5 pg/µL calibration standard
10 pg/µL calibration standard
20 pg/µL calibration standard
50 pg/µL calibration standard
100 pg/µL calibration standard
200 pg/µL calibration standard
600 pg/µL calibration standard
600 pg/µL toxaphene calibration standard

Method blank

Method spike

SRM

Two environmental samples

**CCV** 

**PEM** 

Five environmental samples

**CCV** 

**PEM** 

Five environmental samples

CCV

**PEM** 

Five environmental samples

**CCV** 

**PEM** 

7.10 Compound identification is confirmed if the compound is detected at the expected retention time on both GC columns. The degree of error associated with the retention time is matrix and compound dependent. The allowable retention-time error is based on the average of three retention times of standards from the initial calibration GC sequence. The quantitative value reported is column dependent.

#### 8. Calibration

Compounds are calibrated (and subsequently quantitated in samples) by using results obtained on both capillary columns.

- 8.1 Multipoint external standard calibration for single-component compounds. **Option**: The internal standard method of compound calibration and quantitation uses either tetrachloro-*m*-xylene or decachlorobiphenyl, provided that there are no chromatographic interferences with these compounds. Details of internal standard quantitation are not provided here. In the external standard method described below, tetrachloro-*m*-xylene or decachlorobiphenyl is used as a retention-time marker to assist in compound identification.
- 8.1.1 For single-component compounds, calibrate using multipoint curves produced from analysis of the 5 to 200 pg/ $\mu$ L (or other) calibration standards. Regress the peak area of the compound in the standard solution ( $A_C$ ) in relation to the mass (in picograms) of the compound in the standard injected using the following simple linear model:

$$A_C = m \times (C_C \times V_1) + b \tag{4}$$

where

m = compound-specific slope, in area per picograms;

 $C_c$  = concentration of the compound in the standard, in

picograms per microliter;

 $V_1$  = volume of calibration standard injected into GC/ECD, in

microliters; and

*b* = compound-specific *y*-intercept, in area.

**NOTE:** Other regression models may be used as appropriate.

8.1.2 For compounds that exhibit coelutions on both analytical columns (for example, p,p'-DDD), calibrate by using one or more separate standards that contain only one of the coeluting compounds. For example, use separate standards that contain p,p'-DDD but not coeluting o,p'-DDT (on Rtx-5), and not coeluting cis-nonachlor (on Rtx-1701). Identification and quantification of compounds that coelute on both columns requires careful consideration by the analyst. For example, p,p'-DDD can be quantified on the Rtx-1701 column if there are no other chlordane components present in the sample (thus suggesting no coeluting cis-nonachlor). In most cases, the compound that coelutes on both columns will need to be reported as an upper limit value, or not reported because of coeluting interference.

8.2 External standard calibration for PCBs and toxaphene. For PCBs and toxaphene, an overall response factor is computed by summing the peak areas for 10 to 15 representative congeners (selected on the basis of adequate peak intensity and separation from other congener, target compound, and interferent peaks) and dividing by the concentration of the PCB or toxaphene standard. For PCBs, a 1:1:1 mixture of Aroclor 1242, 1254, and 1260 at 200 pg/ $\mu$ L each (or 600 pg/ $\mu$ L total concentration) was typically used as the calibration standard. The response factor is calculated by equation 5:

$$RF = \frac{\text{Sum of selected congener peak areas in standard}}{C_m \times V_1}$$
 (5)

where

RF = response factor, in area per picograms;

 $C_m$  = total PCB or toxaphene concentration in standard, in

picograms per microliter; and

 $V_1$  = volume of standard injected into GC/ECD, in microliters.

An average response factor is computed if multilevel calibration standards are used for PCBs and toxaphene.

#### 9. Calculations

9.1 Calculate the concentration of compounds in the sample extract. For the individual compounds, use the compound-specific regression parameters (equation 4) from the calibration curve to calculate the raw amount of compound in the sample extract:

$$RA = \frac{(A_s - b)}{m \times V_2} \tag{6}$$

where RA = raw amount of compound in sample extract, in picograms per microliter;

 $A_s$  = the peak area of the identified component in the sample extract;

b = compound-specific y -intercept, in area;

m = compound-specific slope, in area per picograms; and

 $V_2$  = final volume of extract injected into GC/ECD, in microliters.

9.2 Calculate the concentration of PCBs and toxaphene in the sample. Sum the peak areas for the 10 to 15 PCB or toxaphene congeners in the sample that match the retention times of those peaks selected for the PCB or toxaphene calibration standards. Calculate the raw amount of PCBs or toxaphene in the sample extract, as follows:

$$RA_m = \frac{\text{Sum of selected congener peak areas in sample}}{RF \times V_2}$$
 (7)

where  $RA_m$  = raw amount of PCB or toxaphene in sample extract, in picograms per microliter;

RF = the PCB or toxaphene response factor, in area per picograms (calculated from equation 5); and

 $V_2$  = final volume of extract injected into GC/ECD, in microliters.

9.3 Calculate the concentration ( $C_s$ ) of the identified compound in the sample, in micrograms per kilogram of wet-weight tissue, using

$$C_s = \frac{RA \times V_3}{S_a} \tag{8}$$

where  $C_s$  = concentration of compound in sample, in micrograms per kilogram (nanograms per gram);

RA = raw amount of compound, in nanograms per milliliter (picograms per microliter) (calculated from equation 6);

 $V_3$  = final volume of extract just prior to GC/ECD, in milliliters; and

 $S_a$  = equivalent weight of tissue injected into the GPC (calculated from equation 3).

**NOTE:** For PCBs and toxaphene, substitute  $RA_m$  from equation 7 for RA in equation 8.

9.4 Calculate the percent recovery of the surrogate compounds in each sample, using

$$R_a = \frac{C_s}{(C_a \times V_a)/S_w} \times 100 \tag{9}$$

where

 $R_a$  = recovery of surrogate in sample, in percent;

 $C_s$  = concentration of surrogate in sample, in nanograms per gram (= micrograms per kilogram) (calculated from equation 8);

 $C_a$  = concentration of compound in the surrogate standard added to the sample, in nanograms per microliter;

 $V_a$  = volume of surrogate standard added to the sample, in microliters; and

 $S_w$  = weight of sample extracted in grams (use weight recorded in section 6.4).

9.5 Calculate the percent recovery of compounds in reagent spike sample, using

$$R_b = \frac{C_s}{(C_b \times V_b)/S_w} \times 100 \tag{10}$$

where

 $R_b$  = recovery of spiked compound in the reagent spike sample, in percent;

C<sub>s</sub> = concentration of compound in reagent spike sample, in nanograms per gram (micrograms per kilogram) (calculated from equation 8);

 $C_b$  = concentration of compound in organochlorine spike standard added to sample, in nanograms per microliter;

 $V_b$  = volume of reagent spike standard added to the sample, in microliters; and

 $S_w$  = weight of sample extracted in grams (use weight recorded in section 6.4).

9.6 Calculate the percent recovery of compounds in SRM sample, using

$$R_{SRM} = \frac{C_s}{C_{srm}} \times 100 \tag{11}$$

where

 $R_{SRM}$  = recovery of compound in the SRM sample, in percent;

C<sub>s</sub> = concentration of compound in SRM sample, in nanograms per gram (micrograms per kilogram) (calculated from equation 8); and

 $C_{srm}$  = certified concentration of compound in the SRM sample, in nanograms per gram.

9.7 Calculate the percent breakdown of p,p'-DDT and endrin on the GC/ECD from injections of the PEM using the following equations:

Percent 
$$p,p'$$
-DDT breakdown = 
$$\frac{A_{p,p'}-DDE + A_{p,p'}-DDD}{A_{p,p'}-DDT + A_{p,p'}-DDD} \times 100$$
(12)

and

$$Percent endrin breakdown = \frac{A_{endrin aldehyde} + A_{endrin ketone}}{A_{endrin} + A_{endrin aldehyde} + A_{endrin ketone}} x 100$$
 (13)

where  $A_{compound}$  = peak area of given compound in the PEM chromatogram.

- 9.8 Compute the CCV percent difference.
- 9.8.1 Calculate the raw amount for each compound in the CCV standard ( $RA_{ccv}$ ) using equation 6.
- 9.8.2 Calculate the percent difference between the determined and expected CCV concentrations, using

CCV percent difference = 
$$\frac{RA_{ccv} - C_e}{C_e} \times 100$$
 (14)

where  $RA_{ccv}$  = calculated raw amount of compound in CCV standard, in picograms per microliter; and  $C_e$  = expected concentration of compound in CCV standard, in picograms per microliter.

#### 10. Reporting of results

Report concentrations of compounds as follows: less than 10  $\mu$ g/kg, two significant figures; 10 to 1,000  $\mu$ g/kg, three significant figures. Report results less than 5.0  $\mu$ g/kg as "less than method reporting limit."

#### **DISCUSSION OF RESULTS**

#### **Method Performance**

Method performance was evaluated by analyzing samples of nonspiked homogenized whole-body fish tissue, homogenized corbicula tissue, as well as samples of the same tissues spiked at two different concentrations. Each sample was evaluated using seven replicates. In addition, seven replicates at two concentrations of a reagent spike were analyzed. Seven replicates of NIST SRM-1588 cod liver oil were analyzed as part of the evaluation of method accuracy. To further validate the analytical method, two samples from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service round-robin evaluation were analyzed in duplicate, and a USEPA quality-control sample was analyzed in quadruplicate.

The method performance data from nonmatrix spikes, matrix spikes, and SRM analyses are listed in the Supplement, tables 2 through 12. The compounds are listed in order of detection on Rtx-5.

Because of the high background levels of some of the method compounds in the sample that was selected for the 30- and 100- $\mu$ g/kg matrix spike, a second set of experiments was conducted on a separate sample spiked at 40  $\mu$ g/kg to produce performance data at a low concentration. Unfortunately, the second sample selected also had high concentrations of selected method compounds that precluded establishing performance data at this level. No further attempt was made to locate a sample with suitable background concentrations and repeat the recovery experiments because of the length of time required to produce method performance data.

The performance data presented in tables 2 through 16 (see Supplement) indicate that this method will provide accurate and precise concentration data for all method compounds. However, some method compounds may present problems in analysis; an example of a problem is listed in table 4. The concentration data for  $o_p p'$ -and  $p_p p'$ -DDD have percent RSDs (relative standard deviations) that are greater than 60 percent. The concentration data for  $o_p p'$ -and  $p_p p'$ -DDD are lower in determinations A and B than for determinations C, D, E, and F. Initially this limited data set indicates that a problem exists with the samples. If this were the case, all concentration data for all method compounds would have percent RSDs that are comparable to those of the  $o_p p'$ - and  $p_p p'$ -DDD. With the exception of these two compounds, the precision of the method compounds is acceptable (percent RSD<23 percent).

There are two possibilities that would explain the data. First, there are unknown compounds that are coeluting with o,p'- and p,p'-DDD in determinations C, D, E, and F that account for their higher concentrations. Second, o,p'- and p,p'-DDT are susceptible to thermal degradation and may thermally degrade to o,p'- and p,p'-DDD inside the GC injection port when it is contaminated. These data indicate that thermal degradation indeed is occurring. In determinations A and B, o,p'- and p,p'-DDT are not thermally degrading to o,p'- and p,p'-DDD (table 4). Higher reported concentrations of o,p'- and p,p'-DDD in determinations C, D, E, and F

indicate that o,p'- and p,p'-DDT are thermally degrading to their respective DDD components upon injection of the sample extract. This line of reasoning can be applied to table 12, which lists percent recovery from the NIST SRM-1588. The performance evaluation mix was not analyzed during the method-performance phase of this project. This type of problem is identified and corrected by examining the results of the PEM standard that is analyzed after every fifth environmental sample.

To further validate this tissue methodology, the NWQL participated in several round-robin studies sponsored by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the USEPA. The samples from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife service were homogenized fish tissue. The samples from the USEPA were freeze-dried and mixed with sodium sulfate. The results from other laboratories that participated in the Fish and Wildlife Service round-robin study and the results produced by NWQL using this method are listed in tables 13a and 13b (see Supplement). The study consisted of two homogenized fish-tissue samples that were analyzed for the following compounds: alpha- and gamma-HCH, hexachlorobenzene, heptachlor epoxide, oxychlordane, cisand trans-chlordane, cis- and trans-nonachlor, o,p'- and p,p'-DDE, o,p'- and p,p'-DDD, o,p'- and p,p'-DDT, dieldrin, endrin, mirex, total PCBs, and toxaphene. On the basis of a duplicate concentration for each sample, the data produced by NWQL from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife samples fall within one standard deviation of the mean for all method compounds. When duplicates are not averaged but are considered as individual analyses, about 80 percent of all data fell within one standard deviation, and 100 percent of the data fell within two standard deviations. The calculated range, provided by the USFWS, is based on the individual analyses from all participating laboratories.

The results of the USEPA quality-control samples are listed in table 14 (see Supplement). Four replicate samples contained *cis-* and *trans-*chlordane, *cis-* and *trans-*nonachlor, oxychlordane, total chlordane (defined as the sum of *cis-* and *trans-*chlordane, *cis-* and *trans-*nonachlor, and oxychlordane), *p,p'-*DDE, *p,p'-*DDD, *p,p'-*DDD, *p,p'-*DDD, and percentage of lipid. The total chlordane and DDX values are within the acceptable range as determined by the USEPA. The precision of the total chlordane and DDX measurements is within one standard deviation. Although the average percentage of lipid is outside the acceptable range, this value is directly dependent on the solvent used for extraction. The samples analyzed by NWQL were extracted by methylene chloride. The reported values were from a hexane extraction.

#### **Method Detection Limits**

Method detection limits were estimated with data from the 2.5-µg/kg reagent spikes and homogenized fish tissue that were fortified at the 2.0-µg/kg level. Matrix based method detection limits were determined on a fish homogenate chosen from submitted samples that contained low levels of contaminants. The method detection limits listed in tables 15 and 16 (see Supplement) are single calibration MDLs

determined in two matrices. These detection limits are not used as method reporting limits. They neither account for the range of lipid composition, lipid concentration, and variety of aquatic tissues that are routinely analyzed, nor the day-to-day variation in instrument performance. The method detection limits were determined according to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1992, p. 565-567).

#### **QUALITY ASSURANCE**

Process the samples and analyze in a set consisting of 16 samples--12 environmental samples and 4 quality-control samples. The quality-control samples consist of a sodium sulfate blank, a reagent spike, SRM, and a duplicate environmental sample. Add a surrogate spike to all samples, including QC samples, prior to extraction. The amount to be added is established in paragraph 5.10.2. Add retention-time markers to all samples, including QC samples, prior to GC analysis. The amount to be added is established in paragraph 5.10.3.

#### **Interpretation of Laboratory Quality-Control Data**

The percentage of surrogate recovery is intended to provide data on the overall performance of the analytical method as it relates to a specific sample. Environmental data is not to be corrected for surrogate recoveries. If any portion of the method fails prior to fractionation on the alumina silica column, the failure will be reflected in low recoveries of both surrogates. If the surrogate recovery is within three sigma of the average surrogate recovery (the control limits), the method is within analytical control for that sample. If the recoveries of both surrogates from both GC columns are greater than three sigma of the average surrogate recovery, this result might indicate coeluting interferences with the surrogate or an unknown matrix effect. This result might not bias the reported concentrations of the method compound, but the chromatogram needs to be reviewed thoroughly. If the percentage of recovery of both surrogates falls below the lower three-sigma control limit, the preparation for that sample is considered out of analytical control. As a result, the sample will need to be reextracted and reanalyzed. If the surrogate from a single fraction is less than 30 percent recovery, the extract is to be reanalyzed.

Reagent spike recovery data are used to monitor the overall performance of the analytical method. The reagent spike percent recoveries are not influenced by matrix effects. Reagent spike recoveries outside of three sigma might indicate that the analytical data for the entire set are not acceptable, and the set needs to be reextracted and reanalyzed.

To further evaluate method performance, the analyst examines the SRM and surrogate recoveries of all samples in the set. If the reagent spike recoveries, SRM, and surrogate recoveries are not within established guidelines, reevaluate the entire

set and reextract and reanalyze. If the reagent spike, SRM, and surrogate recoveries are within established guidelines, the analytical data are considered to be acceptable. The surrogate and reagent spike data are never used to correct environmental data.

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

On the basis of data presented, this analytical method can be used routinely for the determination of chlorinated pesticides in whole-body-fish and corbicula tissue at low microgram-per-kilogram concentrations. At present (1995), method reporting limits are set at 5  $\mu$ g/kg wet weight for chlorinated compounds, 50  $\mu$ g/kg for polychlorinated biphenyls, and 200  $\mu$ g/kg for toxaphene. Reporting limits are subject to change depending on background interferences, limitation in sample size, matrix effects, or high levels of other compounds, such as PCBs in the sample extract.

#### REFERENCES CITED

- Barthel, W.F., Hawthorne, J.C., Ford, J.H., Bolton, G.C., McDowell, L.L., Grissinger, E.H., and Parsons, D.A., 1969, Pesticide residues in sediments of the lower Mississippi River and its tributaries: Pesticides Monitoring Journal, v. 3, no. 1, p. 8-66.
- Crawford, J.K., and Luoma, S.N., 1993, Guidelines for studies of contaminants in biological tissues for the National Water-Quality Assessment Program: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 92-494, 69 p.
- Jaffe, Rudolf, Stemmler, E.A., Eitzer, B.D., and Hites, R.A., 1985, Anthropogenic, polyhalogenated, organic compounds in sedentary fish from Lake Huron and Lake Superior tributaries and embayments: Journal of Great Lakes Research, v. 11, no. 2, p. 156-162.
- Laska, A.L., Bartell, C.K., and Laseter, J.L., 1976, Distribution of hexachlorobenzene and hexachlorobutadiene in water, soil, and selected aquatic organisms along the lower Mississippi River, Louisiana: Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, v. 15, no. 5, p. 535-542.
- Leiker, T.J., Rostad, C.E., Barnes, C.R., and Pereira, W.E., 1991, A reconnaissance study of halogenated organic compounds in catfish from the lower Mississippi River and its major tributaries: Chemosphere, v. 23, no. 7, p. 817-829.
- McNair, H.M., and Bonelli, E.J., 1969, Basic gas chromatography (5th ed.): Berkeley, Calif., Consolidated Printers, 306 p.
- Oliver, B.G., and Niimi, A.J., 1985, Bioconcentration factors of some halogenated organics for rainbow trout: Limitations in their use for prediction of environmental residues: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 19, p. 842-849.

- Pereira, W.E., Rostad, C.E., Chiou, C.T., Brinton, T.I., Barber, L.B., II, Demcheck, D.K., and Demas, C.R., 1988, Contamination of estuarine water, biota, and sediment by halogenated organic compounds: A field study: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 22, no. 7, p. 772-778.
- Sabourin, T.D., Stickle, W.B., Michot, T.C., Villars, C.E., Garton, D.W., and Mushinsky, H.R., 1984, Organochlorine residue levels in Mississippi River water snakes in southern Louisiana: Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, v. 32, p. 460-468.
- Swackhamer, D.L., and Hites, R.A., 1988, Occurrence and bioaccumulation of organochlorine compounds in fishes from Siskwit Lake, Isle Royale, Lake Superior: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 22, no. 5, p. 543-548.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1990, Test methods for evaluating solid waste, SW-846, v. IB, Laboratory manual, physical/chemical methods: Washington, D.C., Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, Method 8000A--Gas chromatography, rev. 1, p. 8000A 1-16.
- \_\_\_\_\_1992, Guidelines establishing test procedures for the analysis of pollutants (App. B to Part 136, Definition and procedures for the determination of the method detection limit): U.S. Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40, revised as of July 1, 1992, p. 565-567.
- Wershaw, R.L., Fishman, M.J., Grabbe, R.R., and Lowe, L.E., eds., 1987, Methods for the determination of organic substances in water and fluvial sediments: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, book 5, chap. A3, 80 p.
- Winger, P.V., and Andreasen, J.K., 1985, Contaminant residues in fish and sediments from lakes in the Atchafalaya River Basin (Louisiana): Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, v. 14, no. 5, p. 579-586.

Blank

### SUPPLEMENT: METHOD PERFORMANCE DATA

Blank

Table 2. Concentration data of nonspiked whole-body fish tissue used for 40-microgram-per-kilogram spike [µg/kg, micrograms per kilogram; Std. dev., standard deviation; RSD, relative standard deviation; <, less than]

Compound		•	Concentration units (µg/kg wet weight	n units (µg/]	kg wet weig	ht)		Mean	Std.	RSD
	A	В	O	D	ш		Ŋ	1	dev.	(percent)
alpha-HCH	4.8	8.6	10.2	11.6	11.4	9.3	8.9	9.0	2.5	27.7
Hexachlorobenzene	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5			
<b>Pentachloroanisole</b>	<5	<5	<5	<5 5	<5	, 5	<5			
beta-HCH	3.3	4.9	4.9	5.0	4.7	3.9	3.0	4.2	œ.	19.7
gamma-HCH	5.5	7.3	6.7	8.0	6.9	5.5	4.4	6.3	1.2	19.6
delta-HCH	22.1	27.6	30.9	41.1	37.3	29.0	27.3	30.8	6.4	21.0
Heptachlor	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	< 5			
Aldrin	<5	<5	<5	< 5	<5	\ \5	< 5			
DCPA	4.8	11.6	10.8	8.9	8.6	8.9	8.9	8.8	2.4	26.7
Heptachlor epoxide	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5			
Oxychlordane	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5			
trans-Chlordane	<5	<5	\ 5	<5	<5	< <del>5</del>	<5			
o,p'-DDE	<5	<5	<5	<5	< <del>5</del>	<5	<5			
cis-Chlordane	19.5	24.1	27.9	37.9	33.2	27.3	22.5	27.5	6.3	23.1
trans-Nonachlor	17.0	14.5	17.6	17.0	17.3	19.0	11.3	16.2	2.5	15.7
Dieldrin	128	124	135	138	137	141	91	128	17.1	13.4
p,p'-DDE	1,267	880	862	831	846	847	876	916	156	17.0
o,p'-DDD	33.1	31.2	37.4	40.7	34.1	35.1	22.8	33.5	5.6	16.8
Endrin	9.5	18.2	11.5	24.0	14.1	13.5	11.3	14.6	5.0	34.4
cis-Nonachlor	5.5	0.9	6.9	6.7	7.2	7.2	4.6	6.3	1.0	15.9
p,p'-DDD	335	308	338	336	360	362	246	326	39.9	12.2
o,p'-DDT	<5	<5	<5	< 5	< 5	< <del>5</del>	<5			
p,p'-DDT	199	181	186	176	153	172	123	170	25.1	14.7
o,p'-Methoxychlor	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5			
p,p'-Methoxychlor	<5	<5 5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5			
Mirex	< <del>5</del>	\ 5	<b>~</b> 5	<b>^</b>	< 5	<5	<5			

[Std. dev., standard deviation; RSD, relative standard deviation; ND, not detected; NS, not spiked, standards not available; NR, not reported, background concentrations greater than spike] Table 3. Percent recovery of 40-microgram-per-kilogram spike in homogenized whole-body fish tissue

•		Recove		ry of individual compounds, in percent	unds, in per	cent		Mean	Std.	RSD
	Ą	В	C	D	Ħ	F	Ŋ		dev.	(percent)
alpha-HCH	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ΩN	ND			
Hexachlorobenzene	87	88	94	92	106	26	66	95	7	7
Pentachloroanisole	SN	SN	NS	SN	NS	NS	NS			
beta-HCH	ND	ΩZ	ND	ND	ND	NΩ	ND			
gamma-HCH	52	49	90	22	33	20	25	44	26	09
delta-HCH	NΩ	ΩZ	ND	ND	ND	NΩ	ND			
Heptachlor	68	91	92	68	102	92	96	93	ιυ	5
Aldrin	61	65	78	9/	88	06	61	74	12	16
DCPA	73	88	125	132	149	136	150	122	30	25
Heptachlor epoxide	2/2	92	126	119	149	137	150	121	28	23
Oxychlordane	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS			
trans-Chlordane	61	65	89	99	74	74	9/	69	9	8
$o_{\prime}p$ '-DDE	NR	R	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR			
cis-Chlordane	29	78	82	9/	06	88	68	81	8	10
trans-Nonachlor	09	92	83	82	82	83	95	80	11	13
Dieldrin	NR	N R	NR	NR	NR	N. R.	N. N.			
p,p'-DDE	NR	N R	NR	N. R.	NR	N R	NR			
o,p'-DDD	31	41	48	49	62	29	29	51	13	25
Endrin	68	93	86	94	88	26	91	93	4	4
cis-Nonachlor	89	78	78	74	87	26	91	82	10	12
p,p'-DDD	NR	NR	N R	NR	NR	NR	NR			
o,p'-DDT	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR			
p,p'-DDT	NR	NR	m NR	NR	NR	NR	NR			
o,p'-Methoxychlor	94	108	100	102	94	85	2/2	94	11	11
p,p'-Methoxychlor	101	119	137	127	137	132	143	128	14	11
Mirex	100	108	108	105	120	109	111	109	9	9
Lipid	11.8	6.7	9.2	10.3	0.6	10.6	9.6	10	1	10

**Table 4.** Concentration data of nonspiked whole-body fish tissue used for 30- and 100-microgram-per-kilogram spike

[μg/kg, micrograms per kilogram; Std. dev., standard deviation; RSD, relative standard deviation; <, less than]

Compound		Concent	ration units	Concentration units (µg/kg wet weight)	weight)		Mean	Std.	RSD
	A	В	S	D	Ш	H		dev.	(percent)
alpha-HCH	< <u>\$</u>	\$	55	\ \55	\$	\$			
Hexachlorobenzene	S	4.8	5.3	4.9	6.3	4.3	5.1	0.7	14
Pentachloroanisole	<b>₹</b>	Ą	, 5	\$\\ 5		\ \55			
beta-HCH	2.4	7.5	7	8.9	8.2	5.4	6.2	2.1	34
gamma-HCH	<b>₹</b>	ζ.	5	< 5	ζ.	ζ			
delta-HCH	Λ 5	₹	< 5	\ \ 5		\ \5			
Heptachlor		ζ.	\ \.	< <u>\$</u>		\ \.7.			
Aldrin	\$ 5	ζ.	₹	<b>~</b>	₹.	<u>ς</u>			
DCPA	7.4	4.7	4.7	6.7	9	7.8	6.2	1.3	21
Heptachlor epoxide	11.2	11.4	10.9	10.6	14.1	8.6	11.3	1.5	13
Oxychlordane	\$	\$	<b>\\</b>	< <u>5</u>	₹	\$\ \5			
trans-Chlordane	6.3	5.8	7.2	5.8	8.1	6.1			
$o_p$ '-DDE	11.2	11.1	10.6	9.5	10.9	9.4	10.5	∞.	7.6
cis-Chlordane	18.2	17	16.9	16.9	21.6	15.4	17.7	2.1	12
trans-Nonachlor	36.5	36.4	32.8	34.6	42.4	30.1	35.4	4.2	12
Dieldrin	162	161	114	116	130	96	130	27	21
p,p'-DDE	1,470	1,583	894	1,282	1,293	922	1,240	281	23
o,p'-DDD	8.1	7.2	48	47.9	59.3	42.3	35.5	22.3	63
Endrin	16.6	15.3	19.5	8.1	16.3	10.7	14.4	4.2	29
cis-Nonachlor	10.7	8.6	11.6	8.7	13.4	9.2	10.6	1.8	17
p,p'-DDD	36	35	405	411	508	366	293	205	70
$o_{\prime}p^{\prime}$ -DDT	18.6	15.7	21.5	21.1	26.6	18	20.2	3.8	19
p,p'-DDT	331	317	274	291	366	257	306	40	13
o,p'-Methoxychlor	₹.	\$	<5	<5	₹	< 5			
p,p'-Methoxychlor	₹	\$	< <del>5</del>	<5	₹	\$			
Mirex	<b>?</b>	₹	\ \.	< <u>5</u>	₹	<u>λ</u>			

Table 5. Percent recovery of 30-microgram-per-kilogram spike in homogenized whole-body fish tissue [Std. dev., standard deviation; RSD, relative standard deviation; ND, not detected; NS, not spiked, standards not available; NR, not reported, background concentrations greater than spike]

Compound		Recove	very of indiv	ery of individual compounds, in percent	unds, in perc	ent		Mean	Std.	RSD
1	A	В	J	D	Ε		Ŋ		dev.	(percent)
alpha-HCH*	86	86	<5	113	103	61	102	96	18	19
Hexachlorobenzene	68	93	93	108	109	29	107	95	15	16
Pentachloroanisole	$N_{S}$	SN	SN	NS	NS	NS	SN			
beta-HCH	88	62	62	88	93	59	92	78	16	20
gamma-HCH	82	88	88	107	34	80	8,	73	28	39
delta-HCH	69	82	82	106	66	48	86	84	20	24
Heptachlor	92	92	92	103	112	65	110	95	16	17
Aldrin	78	26	9/	8	91	52	06	79	14	18
DCPA*	117	90	ND	29	106	78	104	46	19	20
Heptachlor epoxide*	53	48	ND	61	65	23	99	53	16	31
Oxychlordane	$N_{S}$	SZ	NS	NS	NS	NS	SN			
trans-Chlordane*	81	78	ND	93	95	53	82	80	15	19
o,p'-DDE	26	41	87	43	47	40	45	51	17	32
cis-Chlordane	92	94	94	111	121	45	119	26	26	27
trans-Nonachlor	105	108	108	131	143	98	140	117	21	18
Dieldrin	N. N.	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR			
p,p'-DDE	N R	NR	NR	m NR	NR	NR	NR			
0,p'-DDD	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR			
Endrin	89	89	89	94	119	62	117	85	25	29
cis-Nonachlor	29	27	27	34	40	17	39	30	80	26
р,р'-DDD	N R	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR			
o,p'-DDT	84	84	84	%	115	61	113	91	19	21
p,p'-DDT	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR			
o,p'-Methoxychlor*	111	120	ND	149	140	109	137	127	17	13
p,p'-Methoxychlor	2	78	78	93	94	52	92	80	18	19
Mirex*	108	103	ND	116	118	. 75	116	106	16	15
* $n=6$ ; all others $n=7$ .										

Table 6. Percent recovery of 100-microgram-per-kilogram spike in homogenized whole-body fish tissue [Std. dev., standard deviation; RSD, relative standard deviation; ND, not detected;

NR, not reported, background concentrations greater than spike]

percent) RSD 6 5 11 24 ∞ ∞ rv 4 Mean 828 Recovery of individual compounds, in percent NR 80 93 85 89 40.2 Heptachlor epoxide p,p'-Methoxychlor\* Hexachlorobenzene o,p'-Methoxychlor\* Pentachloroanisole trans-Chlordane\* trans-Nonachlor\* Compound cis-Chlordane\* cis-Nonachlor\* Oxychlordane gamma-HCH alpha-HCH Heptachlor delta-HCH beta-HCH o,p'-DDE\* o,p'-DDD\* p,p'-DDD Dieldrin\* a,p'-DDE p,p'-DDT O,p'-DDT Endrin\* DCPA\* Aldrin Mirex\* Lipid

Table 7. Concentration data of nonspiked corbicula tissue used for 5- and 40-microgram-per-kilogram spike

[μg/kg, micrograms per kilogram; Std. dev., standard deviation; RSD, relative standard deviation; <, less than]

		3	oncentration	mnts (µg/ kg	ncentration units (µg/ kg wet weignt)			Mean	Std.	KSD
1	A	В	J	D	H	H	Э		dev.	(percent)
alpha-HCH	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5			
Hexachlorobenzene	<b>^</b>	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5 5	<5			
Pentachloroanisole	<b>^</b>	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5 5	<5			
beta-HCH	< 5	<b>^</b> 2	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5			
gamma-HCH	<b>^</b>	<b>^</b>	<5	<5	<5	<b>S</b>	<5			
delta-HCH	< 5	<b>^</b> 2	<5	<5	<5	<5 5	<5			
Heptachlor	<5	<b>^</b> 2	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5			
Aldrin	<b>\</b>	<5	<5	<5	<5	< 5	<5			
DCPA	<b>\</b>	<b>^</b>	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5			
Heptachlor epoxide	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5			
Oxychlordane	<b>~</b>	<5	<5	<5	<5	\ 5	<5			
trans-Chlordane	9.1	8.4	7.5	8.4	7.2	9.4	<b>∞</b>	8.3	0.08	9.5
o,p'-DDE	<b>^</b>	\ \ \	<5	<5	<5	<b>^</b>	<5			
<i>cis</i> -Chlordane	10.4	10.5	8.8	10.6	8.5	10.6	8.2	6.7	1.1	11.4
trans-Nonachlor	10.3	10.2	9.6	11	8.9	11.2	8.1	6.6	1.1	11.3
Dieldrin	14.1	14	12.4	13.7	10.5	14.1	10.3	12.7	1.7	13.2
p,p'-DDE	7.2	5.3	4.7	Ŋ	3.8	4.9	5.1	5.2	Н	20.1
o,p'-DDD	<b>~</b>	\ 5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5			
Endrin	, 5	\ \ 5	<5	<5	<b>^</b> 2	<5	<5			
cis-Nonachlor	<b>^</b>	< 5	<b>^</b> 2	<5	<5	<5	<5 5			
p,p'-DDD	6.6	9.5	9.2	10.7	7.4	10.6	∞	9.3	1.2	13.4
o,p'-DDT	<b>^</b>	\ \ 5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<b>~</b> 5			
p,p'-DDT	<b>^</b>	< 5	<5	<b>~</b> 2	<5	<5	<b>~</b>			
o,p'-Methoxychlor	<5 5	\ 5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<b>~</b> 5			
p,p'-Methoxychlor	\ \ 5	< 5	<5	<b>^</b> 2	<5	<5	\ 5			
Mirex	<b>&gt;</b>	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	\ 5			
Lipid	2.3	2.3	2	2.2	2.1	2.4	2.1	2.2	0.1	6.4

Table 8. Percent recovery of 5-microgram-per-kilogram spike in homogenized corbicula tissue [Std. dev., standard deviation; RSD, relative standard deviation; ND, not detected; NR, not reported]

Compound		Recov	very of individual compounds, in percent	idual compo	unds, in per	ent		Mean	Std.	RSD
	A	В	C	D	Ш	H	ß		dev.	(percent)
alpha-HCH	20	81	72	107	87	85	78	83	12	15
Hexachlorobenzene	72	63	92	96	84	81	82	28	6	11
Pentachloroanisole	2/2	72	85	103	78	91	80	78	6	11
beta-HCH	98	80	91	101	93	100	68	91	7	<b>∞</b>
gamma-HCH	65	29	87	150	136	131	107	106	8	32
delta-HCH	62	31	35	81	92	26	74	62	21	33
Heptachlor	77	29	74	8	26	72	2	72	^	10
Aldrin	ક્ક	26	89	83	75	72	73	29	œ	12
DCPA	<b>%</b>	93	26	188	230	186	66	140	9	43
Heptachlor epoxide	ΩN	ΩN	ΩN	NΩ	ND	ND	ND			
Oxychlordane	118	108	124	153	139	141	144	132	16	12
trans-Chlordane	92	29	104	138	132	127	116	113	22	19
o,p'-DDE	14	14	15	20	<b>5</b> 6	21	20	19	4	24
cis-Chlordane	22	26	87	165	133	124	106	107	37	35
trans-Nonachlor	115	100	132	182	141	142	42	122	44	<b>3</b> 6
Dieldrin*	%	ΩN	119	194	151	158	146	144	34	24
p,p'-DDE	100	71	102	139	104	66	62	66	22	22
0,p'-DDD	4	89	49	104	82	95	81	82	14	17
Endrin	<b>3</b> 6	41	26	83	89	99	65	29	16	28
cis-Nonachlor	8	29	83	103	88	87	91	85	11	13
p,p'-DDD	NR	N R	N R	N. N.	N R	NR	NR			
o,p'-DDT	ΩN	ΩN	ΩZ	ΩN	ΩN	ΩN	ΩN			
p,p'-DDT	105	13	104	151	123	181	123	123	34	<b>78</b>
o,p'-Methoxychlor	\$	81	72	74	71	74	8	28	7	6
p,p'-Methoxychlor	92	92	108	113	111	47	48	88	53	33
Mirex	94	99	92	84	80	78	26	82	6	12
* $n=6$ , all others $n=7$ .										

Table 9. Percent recovery of 40-microgram-per-kilogram spike in homogenized corbicula tissue

[Std. dev., standard deviation; RSD, relative standard deviation; ND, not detected]

Compound		Rec	Recovery of individual compounds, in percent	vidual compo	ounds, in per	cent		Mean	Std.	RSD
	A	В	C	D	Ш	H	g		dev.	(percent)
alpha-HCH	ND	ND	NΩ	ND	ND	ND	ND			
Hexachlorobenzene	98	06	95	84	68	84	98	88	4	4
Pentachloroanisole	79	82	87	78	85	82	83	82	e	4
beta-HCH	81	98	91	84	91	84	91	87	4	5
gamma-HCH	29	65	69	09	29	61	69	64	4	7
delta-HCH	71	94	95	65	49	65	26	26	16	20
Heptachlor	85	92	83	69	74	29	80	92	7	6
Aldrin	88	77	77	63	49	55	78	72	11	16
DCPA	73	26	85	2/2	85	78	81	80	4	9
Heptachlor epoxide	88	88	95	82	92	06	68	68	4	4
Oxychlordane	ΩN	ND	ΩN	ND	ND	NΩ	NΩ			
trans-Chlordane	86	06	96	85	95	93	87	91	4	4
o,p'-DDE	43	40	44	35	38	35	39	39	4	6
cis-Chlordane	69	72	79	92	74	29	20	71	IJ	7
trans-Nonachlor	81	82	88	9/	68	88	85	84	5	9
Dieldrin	85	92	103	98	96	88	102	94	7	8
p,p'-DDE	110	113	110	68	93	84	111	101	12	12
o,p'-DDD	85	68	94	80	68	85	100	68	7	7
Endrin	106	111	117	115	110	102	113	111	5	5
cis-Nonachlor	87	84	100	80	26	100	82	06	6	10
р,р'-DDD	26	102	106	06	100	87	107	86	8	<b>∞</b>
o,p'-DDT	49	46	51	38	41	38	47	44	5	12
p,p'-DDT	26	108	113	66	104	86	115	105	7	7
o,p'-Methoxychlor	147	151	159	134	149	147	155	149	80	വ
p,p'-Methoxychlor	114	116	122	106	118	112	115	115	5	4
Mirex	117	110	114	100	109	112	107	110	5	5
		2	•		\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	1		2	,   	

Table 10. Percent recovery of 2.5-microgram-per-kilogram reagent spike

[Std. dev., standard deviation; RSD, relative standard deviation; NS, not spiked, standards not available; ND, not detected]

Compound		Recov	overy of indiv	ridual compo	ery of individual compounds, in percent	cent		Mean	Std.	RSD
	A	В	IJ	D	ш		Ŋ		dev.	(percent)
alpha-HCH	48	54	44	49	45	20	44	48	3	7
Hexachlorobenzene	84	77	59	71	29	2	62	20	6	12
Pentachloroanisole	71	75	56	69	64	69	29	29	9	6
beta-HCH	61	80	73	73	89	75	20	71	9	∞
gamma-HCH	69	09	26	55	50	56	52	57	9	11
delta-HCH	29	102	96	96	84	95	94	91	11	12
Heptachlor	71	29	74	74	59	99	99	89	5	∞
Aldrin	26	26	55	56	20	53	52	54	2	4
DCPA	87	100	92	94	93	100	93	94	5	rv
Heptachlor epoxide	122	92	98	88	79	88	68	92	14	15
Oxychlordane	SZ	NS	NS	NS	SN	SN	SN			
trans-Chlordane	73	87	83	98	78	88	91	84	9	7
$o_{\prime}p^{\prime}$ -DDE	NS	NS	$S_{N}$	NS	NS	SN	NS			
cis-Chlordane	89	88	82	82	80	85	84	81	9	<b>∞</b>
trans-Nonachlor	26	68	83	87	78	68	93	85	9	7
Dieldrin	58	77	73	72	59	65	62	29	7	11
p,p'-DDE	64	49	64	65	62	64	65	29	7	7
o,p'-DDD	09	74	29	29	65	71	99	29	4	9
Endrin	82	95	92	87	83	93	94	68	5	9
cis-Nonachlor	77	92	88	88	83	92	26	88	7	7
p,p'-DDD	9/	73	65	89	73	81	126	80	21	26
o,p'-DDT	52	77	61	26	26	92	48	09	6	16
p,p'-DDT*	29	117	109	85	82	93	ND	92	18	20
o,p'-Methoxychlor	165	182	164	156	162	174	148	164	11	7
p,p'-Methoxychlor	216	241	238	196	203	217	184	214	21	10
Mirex	107	115	115	117	107	115	122	114	5	5
*n=6.										

35

Table 11. Percent recovery of 40-microgram-per-kilogram reagent spike

[Std. dev., standard deviation; RSD, relative standard deviation]

Compound	Reco	overy of indi	Recovery of individual compounds, in percent	ounds, in per	cent	Mean	Std.	RSD
ı	A	В	) O	D	E		dev.	(percent)
alpha-HCH	98	82	79	87	84	84	3	4
Hexachlorobenzene	110	116	95	111	108	108	∞	7
Pentachloroanisole	88	91	98	92	68	68	7	8
beta-HCH	94	88	85	92	90	06	4	4
gamma-HCH	91	80	68	77	84	84	9	7
delta-HCH	26	06	107	92	26	26	7	7
Heptachlor	84	81	92	29	81	81	6	11
Aldrin	68	87	66	29	98	98	12	14
DCPA	94	84	95	85	68	68	rv	9
Heptachlor epoxide	86	98	95	63	98	98	14	16
Oxychlordane	93	84	83	81	85	85	Ŋ	5
trans-Chlordane	94	68	66	81	91	91	9	7
$o_{\prime}p^{\prime}$ -DDE	57	20	09	37	51	51	6	18
cis-Chlordane	94	68	26	81	06	06	9	7
trans-Nonachlor	68	85	92	80	87	87	ις	5
Dieldrin	92	88	66	91	93	93	4	4
p,p'-DDE	90	100	103	79	93	93	6	10
0,p'-DDD	88	83	68	91	88	88	8	3
Endrin	93	68	95	81	06	06	5	9
cis-Nonachlor	95	06	102	81	92	92	80	8
р,р'-DDD	84	88	86	92	06	06	5	9
o,p'-DDT	64	74	20	29	69	69	4	വ
p,p'-DDT	108	110	111	81	102	102	13	12
o,p'-Methoxychlor	87	06	92	89	84	84	6	11
p,p'-Methoxychlor	112	115	107	83	104	104	12	12
Mirex	94	94	106	74	92	92	11	12

**Table 12.** Percent recovery from National Institute of Standards and Technology Standard Reference Material 1588 cod liver oil

[Std. dev., standard deviation; RSD, relative standard deviation]

Compound		Reco	very of indiv	ridual compo	ounds, in per	rcent		Mean	Std.	RSD
•	A	В	U	Ω	ш	H	9		dev.	(percent)
Hexachlorobenzene	106	06	105	96	86	109	96	100	7	7
alpha-HCH	52	47	50	42	51	55	48	49	4	6
trans-Chlordane	180	166	115	104	109	122	119	131	30	23
cis-Chlordane	109	66	86	92	66	113	06	100	8	80
trans-Nonachlor	101	94	102	96	101	105	103	100	4	4
Dieldrin	73	70	9/	70	81	71	74	74	4	72
p,p'-DDD	135	115	109	42	139	185	162	127	46	36
p,p'-DDE	88	73	83	72	69	06	79	79	8	11
o,p'-DDT	93	85	96	82	51	69	84	80	15	19
p,p'-DDT	81	77	26	65	74	84	26	80	10	12

Table 13a. Results of U.S. Fish and Wildlife round-robin sample 2

[Concentration units in micrograms per kilogram wet weight; NWQL, National Water Quality Laboratory; \*, abbreviations of other laboratories that participated in the round-robin study; Std. dev., standard deviation; RSD, relative standard deviation]

ı													Std.	RSD
	Compound	NWQL1	NWQL2	MSCL*	GERG1*	GERG2*	MAZL1*	MAZL2*	PACF1*	PACF2*	PACF3*	Mean	dev.	(percent)
' -	alpha-HCH	48	53	46	130	124	5	114	100	80	100	85	39	46
•	Hexachlorobenzene	06	51	65	40	91	100	26	22	09	26	75	20	27
-\	gamma-HCH	89	99	93	110	124	15	82	%	28	26	83	30	36
	Heptachlor epoxide	20	26	98	80	101	72	69	80	64	83	13	13	16
_	Oxychlordane	84	89	<b>8</b> 8	90	106	68	64	88	49	\$	82	13	16
	trans-Chlordane	68	74	82	110	126	96	103	92	22	94	92	15	16
_	o,p'-DDE	81	81	8	100	115	75	66	44	26	85	82	21	22
_	cis-Chlordane	104	84	26	100	115	87	80	83	89	82	<b>8</b> 8	14	16
	trans-Nonachlor	102	8	100	100	125	100	151	91	28	94	103	21	21
. ¬	Dieldrin	101	<b>%</b>	8	120	26	100	118	83	80	93	26	14	14
,	p,p'-DDE	168	130	110	170	125	140	133	190	110	110	139	28	70
. <b>-</b>	0,p'-DDD	120	<b>2</b> 4	120	40	106	66	110	100	78	100	96	24	22
2	Endrin	110	94	26	90	104	110	68	88	83	%	96	6	10
Q Q	DDD-,d'd	120	66	120	160	122	96	127	110	98	110	114	21	19
	o,p'-DDT	110	92	120	160	124	83	115	130	26	130	116	22	19
,	p,p'-DDT	120	100	86	140	116	100	159	140	110	140	122	21	17
	Mirex	87	83	8	120	100	96	111	69	62	29	68	19	22
-	Total PCB	450	320	370	520	672	390	480	280	290	230	493	105	21
•	Toxaphene	400	320	490	25	246	290	530	260	490	290	394	176	45
·	Lipid, in percent	3.3	3.5	2.4	2.9	3.2	3.5	14.6	2.0	1.7	1.6	3.9	3.8	99.7

Table 13b. Results of U.S. Fish and Wildlife round-robin sample 12

[Concentration units in micrograms per kilogram wet weight; NWQL, National Water Quality Laboratory; \*, abbreviations of other laboratories that participated in the round-robin study; Std. dev., standard deviation; RSD, relative standard deviation]

Compound	NWQL1	NWQL1 NWQL2 MSCL*	MSCL*	GERG1*	GERG2*	GERG2* MAZL1* MAZL2* PACF1* PACF2* PACF3*	MAZL2*	PACF1*	PACF2*	PACF3*	Mean	Std.	RSD
												dev.	(percent)
alpha-HCH	45	75	26	130	129	15	132	85	96	87	68	38	42
Hexachlorobenzene	51	47	65	40	127	111	20	72	72	73	73	27	37
gamma-HCH	62	89	93	100	128	32	87	84	91	77	82	22	31
Heptachlor epoxide	99	9/	98	8	111	73	72	29	98	75	81	13	16
Oxychlordane	28	88	82	100	106	88	99	71	80	84	82	12	14
trans-Chlordane	84	86	87	110	129	94	115	2	88	88	26	16	16
o,p'-DDE	2/2	85	82	110	111	9/	107	44	26	85	83	77	27
cis-Chlordane	100	110	81	100	119	85	77	69	78	78	8	16	18
trans-Nonachlor	86	110	100	110	128	110	157	26	68	26	108	22	20
Dieldrin	100	62	93	120	86	86	138	82	91	61	92	23	25
p,p'-DDE	130	130	110	160	126	140	122	100	110	100	123	19	15
	91	120	120	30	107	66	113	91	100	26	26	<b>5</b> 6	27
6 Endrin	110	128	100	90	105	110	68	96	26	69	66	16	16
p,p'-DDD	110	130	130	160	126	110	128	94	100	100	119	20	17
o,p'-DDT	110	120	130	160	125	88	119	110	120	130	121	18	15
$p_p$ '-DDT	110	130	130	150	123	110	151	120	120	150	129	16	12
Mirex	82	68	91	120	102	110	103	9/	72	72	95	16	18
Total PCB	350	310	360	530	725	400	440	200	230	520	467	122	26
Toxaphene	370	420	550	22	267	270	460	490	550	009	400	174	44
Lipid, in percent	3.4	3.5	2.4	1.2	9.2	3.6	13.5	2.1	1.9	1.7	4.1	3.8	92.3

Table 14. Results of U.S. Environmental Protection Agency quality-control samples [µg/kg, micrograms per kilogram; Std. dev., standard deviation; RSD, relative standard deviation]

			Concentration units	tion units				
Compound	Accepted		(µg/kg wet weight)	et weight)		Mean	Std.	RSD
	value	А	В	C	D		dev.	(percent)
cis-Chlordane	20	17	16	17	18	17	8.0	4.8
trans-Chlordane	17	12	14	15	15	14	1.4	10
cis-Nonachlor	6	11	10	11	11	11	ιċ	4.7
trans-Nonachlor	28	22	21	23	22	22	œ̈́	3.7
Oxychlordane	3	33	4	က	က	3	4	7.4
Total chlordane	78	65	65	69	69	29	2.6	3.9
Acceptable range	96-09							
p,p'-DDD	∞	11	12	12	12	12	ιċ	4.3
p,p'-DDE	44	45	42	46	45	45	1.7	3.9
p,p'-DDT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total DDX	52	26	54	58	57	56	1.7	3.0
Acceptable range	32-72							
Lipid, in percent	က	9	4	5	4	5	1	20
Acceptable range	2-4							

Table 15. Method detection limits determined with reagent spike

[Std. dev., standard deviation; RSD, relative standard deviation; n, number of replicates;  $\mu g/kg$ , micrograms per kilogram; MDL, method detection limit; ND, not determined]

	Mean				Amount		
Compound	concentration	Std. dev.	RSD	и	spiked	t-value	MDL
	$(\mu g/kg)$	$(\mu g/kg)$	(percent)		$(\mu g/kg)$		$(\mu g/kg)$
alpha-HCH	1.19	60.0	7.18	7	2.5	3.1427	0.3
Hexachlorobenzene	1.75	.21	12.2	7	2.5	3.1427	7:
Pentachloroanisole	1.68	.15	8.95	7	2.5	3.1427	ιċ
beta-HCH	1.78	.15	8.20	7	2.5	3.1427	ı.
gamma-HCH	1.42	.15	10.8	7	2.5	3.1427	ĸ
delta-HCH	2.28	.26	11.6	7	2.5	3.1427	∞.
Heptachlor	1.70	.13	7.81	7	2.5	3.1427	4.
Aldrin	1.35	.05	3.99	7	2.5	3.1427	5
DCPA	2.35	.11	4.88	7	2.5	3.1427	4.
Heptachlor epoxide	2.30	.35	15.2	7	2.5	3.1427	1.1
Oxychlordane	ΩN			7	2.5	3.1427	ND
trans-Chlordane	2.09	.16	7.48	7	2.5	3.1427	ĸ
o,p'-DDE	ND			7	2.5	3.1427	ND
cis-Chlordane	2.03	.16	7.64	7	2.5	3.1427	ιċ
trans-Nonachlor	2.14	.14	6.55	7	2.5	3.1427	4.
Dieldrin	1.67	.19	11.2	7	2.5	3.1427	9:
p,p'-DDE	1.60	.02	1.56	7	2.5	3.1427	ND
o,p'-DDD	1.68	.11	6.30	7	2.5	3.1427	હ
Endrin	2.24	.13	6.02	7	2.5	3.1427	4.
cis-Nonachlor	2.20	.16	7.45	7	2.5	3.1427	ιċ
p,p'-DDD	2.01	.52	25.9	7	2.5	3.1427	1.6
o,p'-DDT	1.49	.24	15.9	7	2.5	3.1427	.7
p,p'-DDT	1.98	26.	49	7	2.5	3.1427	3.0
o,p'-Methoxychlor	4.11	.28	6.79	7	2.5	3.1427	6:
p,p'-Methoxychlor	5.34	.53	98.6	7	2.5	3.1427	1.7
Mirex	2.85	.13	4.72	7	2.5	3.1427	4.

Table 16. Method detection limits determined with homogenized fish tissue

[Std. dev., standard deviation; RSD, relative standard deviation; n, number of replicates;  $\mu g/kg$ , micrograms per kilogram; MDL, method detection limit]

	Mean				Amount		
Compound	concentration	Std. dev.	RSD	u	spiked	<i>t</i> -value	MDL
	$(\mu g/kg)$	$(\mu g/kg)$	(percent)		$(\mu g/kg)$		$(\mu g/kg)$
alpha-HCH	2.13	0.1	4.87	7	2.0	3.1427	0.31
Hexachlorobenzene	1.79	.23	13.1	7	2.0	3.1427	.74
Pentachloroanisole	2.11	.25	12	7	2.0	3.1427	.76
beta-HCH	2.15	.42	19.6	7	2.0	3.1427	1.26
gamma-HCH	2.06	.28	13.7	7	2.0	3.1427	.85
delta-HCH	1.95	.19	9.5	7	2.0	3.1427	.56
Heptachlor	1.59	.18	11.2	7	2.0	3.1427	.56
Aldrin	1.73	.15	8.65	7	2.0	3.1427	.47
DCPA	1.75	.17	99.6	7	2.0	3.1427	.51
Heptachlor epoxide	2.01	.22	10.8	7	2.0	3.1427	99.
Oxychlordane	2.05	.48	23.5	7	2.0	3.1427	1.44
trans-Chlordane	1.94	.29	15.1	7	2.0	3.1427	88.
o,p'-DDE	1.8	.36	20.1	7	2.0	3.1427	1.09
cis-Chlordane	2.35	.24	10.4	7	2.0	3.1427	.73
trans-Nonachlor	2.18	.16	7.27	7	2.0	3.1427	.47
Dieldrin	2.18	.31	14.1	7	2.0	3.1427	.92
p,p'-DDE	2.06	.32	15.6	7	2.0	3.1427	1.01
o,p'-DDD	2.08	.22	10.5	7	2.0	3.1427	99.
Endrin	2.1	2.	9.52	7	2.0	3.1427	9.
cis-Nonachlor	1.9	.32	16.6	7	2.0	3.1427	.95
p,p'-DDD	2.09	.35	16.7	7	2.0	3.1427	1.04
o,p'-DDT	2.23	.29	12.9	7	2.0	3.1427	98.
p,p'-DDT	2.38	.22	9.21	7	2.0	3.1427	99.
o,p'-Methoxychlor	1.81	.22	12	7	2.0	3.1427	99.
p,p'-Methoxychlor	1.83	.18	9.6	7	2.0	3.1427	.53
Mirex	1.96	.37	19.1	7	2.0	3.1427	1.17